UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,723	02/19/2002	Yong-Jun Kwak	678-807	7600
	7590 03/08/2007 BARRESE LLP	EXAMINER		
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. SUITE 702 UNIONDALE, NY 11553			MILLS, DONALD L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0. 1. 2. 1			2616	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		4/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/079,723	KWAK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Donald L. Mills	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	December 2006.				
,	is action is non-final.				
·—					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-9 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·	·			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
·, <u> </u>					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ata haya baan rasaiyad				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties from the International Russ	-	en received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	•	ot received			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	ot received.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/079,723

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1-3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (6,836,469) in view of Fang (US 5,481,561).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Wu discloses a medium access control protocol for multichannel communication, which comprises:

Generating a dummy bit generation request signal in the absence of the transmission data; upon receipt of the dummy bit generation request signal, generating a dummy bit stream by attaching the CRC bit stream to the dummy bit stream CRC (Referring to Figure 10, during the contention phase (generating a request in the absence of data) of the multi-channel MAC protocol of the WCDMA system, short packets 76₁-76₃ comprise dummy data (absence of transmission data) which are error-protected by an error detection code (CRC) are transmitted to the base station 75 via the M control channels 71₁-71_m when mobile stations 74₁-74₃ attempt transmission. See column 9, lines 7-8 and 19-27.)

Wu does not disclose transmitting a dedicated physical data channel signal over a dedicated physical data channel in order to maintain the target SIR.

Wu teaches sending short packets comprising dummy data via control channels, and transmitting data packets 78₁-78₃ via data channels 70₁-70₃. Fang teaches mitigating "near-far" problems inherent in a CDMA system by sending dummy data in the speech pausing period in data channels (See column 4, lines 62-66.) The Examiner notes that "in order to maintain the target SIR" is an "intended use" limitation. The claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. The prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, and thus meets the claim.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the dummy data stuffing of Fang in the data channels of Wu with error detection.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have motivated to do so in order to maintain clock and code synchronization during idle periods of speech as taught by Fang (See column 4, lines 50-67 and column 5, lines 6-8.)

Regarding claims 2 and 8 as explained in the rejection statements of claims 1 and 7, Wu and Fang teach all of the claim limitations of claims 1 and 7 (parent claims). Wu discloses the dummy bit stream is equal in a number of bits to data bits transmitted over the control channel when the transmission data is present (Referring to Figure 10, short packets 76₁-76₃ comprise a dedicated frame size thereby equivalent to data frame transmission.)

Wu does not disclose transmitting the dummy bit stream over the data channel.

Wu teaches sending short packets comprising dummy data via control channels, and transmitting data packets 78₁-78₃ via data channels 70₁-70₃. Fang teaches mitigating "near-far"

problems inherent in a CDMA system by sending dummy data in the speech pausing period in data channels (See column 4, lines 62-66.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the dummy data stuffing of Fang in the data channels of Wu with error detection.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have motivated to do so in order to maintain clock and code synchronization during idle periods of speech as taught by Fang (See column 4, lines 50-67 and column 5, lines 6-8.)

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Wu discloses the dummy bit stream has a predetermined number of bits (Referring to Figure 10, short packets 76₁-76₃ comprise a dedicated frame size therefore the frame comprises a predetermined number of bits.)

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 04 December 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Rejection Under 35 USC 103

On page 5 of the remarks, regarding claims 1 and 7, the Applicant argues neither Wu nor Fang disclose, teach, or otherwise make obvious transmitting a dedicated physical data channel signal over a dedicated physical data channel in order to maintain the target SIR. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Applicant argues Fang's teaching does not correspond to "in order to maintain the target SIR." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In response to Applicant's argument, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the

Application/Control Number: 10/079,723

Art Unit: 2616

claimed invention from the prior art. The prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, and thus it meets the claim. In addition, the Applicant argues the citation of Fang reveals something entirely different from "near-far" problems inherent in a CDMA system as stated by the Examiner. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Fang teaches that "near-far" problems inherent in a CDMA system is mitigated by the use of uplink power control (See column 2, lines 13-15,) and is accomplished by reducing the power level of dummy data transmission (See column 4, lines 62-67 to column 5, lines 1-8.) Therefore, the rejection is both proper and correct.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 10/079,723

Art Unit: 2616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L. Mills whose telephone number is 571-272-3094. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Donald L Mills

March 2, 2007

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000